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### 1.0 BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Introduction and Vision

The Play Area Improvement Strategy is a 5 year plan to assist the Council in its future management of existing play areas and provision of new sites across the Borough. The quality and quantity of all play areas in Chorley have been assessed and this data used to consider the future provision and investment. The standard minimum provision for the amount of play areas strategically needed to serve Chorley is 0.08 ha per 1,000 population.

Our Vision:
To protect and enhance our play areas, promoting their usage and providing high quality sites which are accessible to all.

### 1.2 Executive Summary

There are currently 85 play areas in Chorley with more being installed on a regular basis due to the number of new housing estates being built at the moment. New play area provision of 0.78 ha is advised to be provided by 2026 to meet the demand of the growing population.

In turn, our existing play areas are in need of upgrading and the following play areas are priority for investment between 2014 and 2019:- Please refer to the Play, Open Space \& Playing Pitch Strategy 2014-19 Executive Summary for specific information.

## 2014/15.

Jubilee Rec, Adlington
Astley Park, Chorley
Stansted Road, Chorley
Rangletts Rec, Chorley
Eaves Green, Chorley
Carr Brook, Clayton and Whittle
Dahila Close, Clayton
The Bowers, Chorley

## 2015/16

Knowley Brow, Chorley
Byron Crescent, Coppull
Station Road, Croston
Union Street, Whittle
Abbey Village Play Area
Amber Drive, Chorley
Tatton Rec, Chorley

2016/17 Coronation Rec, Chorley
Grafton Street, Adlington
Gough Lane Ball Court lighting, Clayton-le-Woods
Buttermere Green, Chorley
Harpers Lane, Chorley
King George V, Adlington
Wymott Park, Ulnes Walton
Osbourne Drive, Whittle
Tansley Avenue, Coppull
Langton Close, Eccleston
Mossie Close, Charnock Richard
Tarnbeck Drive, Mawdesley
2017/18 Lodge Bank, Brinscall
Harvest Drive, Whittle
Grey Heights View, Chorley
Orchard Drive, Clayton
Milestone Meadow, Euxton
The Cherries, Euxton
Howarth Road, Chorley
Mendip Road, Clayton

The Ridings, Whittle<br>2018/19 Broom Close, Clayton<br>Foxcote, Astley Village<br>Manor Road, Clayton<br>Hawkshead Avenue, Euxton<br>Meadow Bank, Clayton<br>Delph Way, Whittle<br>Great Greens Lane, Clayton<br>Waterford Close, Heath Charnock<br>Library Road Skate Ramps, Clayton<br>Review and reassess all sites to write 2019-2023 Action Plan.

Please note that finance has been secured to deliver the required improvements to sites identified to 2016/17. Money is being sought to deliver the aspirations of 2017 - 2019 but these projects may have to be rescheduled if insufficient funds are available to start the project.

### 1.3 Why produce a Play Area Strategy?

Chorley Council embarked on developing a Play Area Strategy for the following reasons:

- Provide an audit/evidence base of existing provision of play areas detailing quality, quantity, accessibility, condition, financial liability and wider value to the community.
- Identify any deficiencies or surpluses in provision and options for dealing with this now and in the future. Also identify gaps in provision for specific age ranges within a neighbourhood.
- Consider how the Council, in partnership with the local community and partner agencies can ensure total inclusion and improve existing provision providing associated benefits to the health of the Borough.
- Prioritise future spending on play area improvement and development through an action plan.
- Provide information to inform the Council to make decisions on the distribution of developer contributions and play and recreation fund bids, prioritising projects and sites according to a range of core criteria.
- Identify whether any existing play areas should be removed and reallocated to another open space use/typology.
- Ensure that any targets identified within the strategy are delivered in a clear, collaborative and inclusive manner.
- Ensure a robust inspection and maintenance process is viewed as an integral part in the delivery of this strategy.
- Ensure the Borough of Chorley demonstrates equality of accessibility across both rural and urban areas.
- Ensure that play space provision is built around the needs of children and young people.


### 2.0 CONTEXT

### 2.1 Local Context

Chorley Council is a principle provider of play areas across the Borough of Chorley with 85 sites spread through 8 neighbourhood areas. Chorley Council own 44 play areas with Parish Councils, housing associations and housing developers owning the remaining 41 play areas, of which Chorley Council have a contract to maintain 10. There are a further 5 known play areas to be created over the next few years. Partnership working between all of these organisations is essential to have a joined up approach to provide, maintain and improve play areas in Chorley and work to a single shared action plan to achieve a shared vision and objectives.

Each neighbourhood consists of a number of wards and they are a mix of urban, semi-rural and rural settlements as illustrated below.


Chorley has a total population of 107,155 (2011 census) of this population 21,265 are below the age of $16.20 \%$ of the population actively use play areas in the Borough and justifiably should have an input into future developments and provision. Chorley is identified as a growth area in Lancashire with an estimated 6,000 dwellings to be built by 2026 with a population expected to rise to $114,200-118,000$.

The largest and most popular play areas are found within parks and recreation grounds. These have provided play value to generations of local children and remain heavily used. Many of these sites have basic features including swings, slides, multi-units, roundabouts and springy units. The majority also feature benches, bins and fencing.

Play areas are inspected by a dedicated team on a two weekly rota and repairs and routine maintenance are carried out. Some sites have been created through Play Builder grants in 2009/10 and others created or upgraded through developer contributions, Council play budgets, external grants or site owner budgets. However, owing to the large number of play areas in the Borough many of the Council sites are coming to the end of the predicted life
expectancy and an investment/development plan is needed to upgrade the most aging equipment.

The health benefits of being outdoors and playing on play equipment is well documented. In 2005 the government introduced the 'Health and Physical Activity Agenda'. Play is recognised for the valuable contribution it can make to increasing children's physical activity levels and helping children to achieve the government's recommended 60 minutes of at least moderate intensity physical activity each day. The Chief Medical Officer notes that "active play involving carrying, climbing, rough and tumble will help develop and maintain muscular fitness and flexibility" and recognises the role of "education professionals and play leaders to encourage children and young people of all abilities, shapes and sizes to take part in sports and activities that engage them throughout life." The agenda also highlights that choice and a range of options are needed so that people can be active on a daily basis.

Recreation, contact with the outdoors and use of the natural environment contributes to children and young people's emotional and psychological well-being, and relates to specific children's health issues, including obesity and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Spending time outdoors can benefit children with these health challenges as well as having a role in stress reduction and mental well-being.

Parks and playgrounds encourage physical activity, it is proven that children who live in close proximity to parks use parks more and engage in more physical activity making it essential that everyone has equal access to play space. Certain park features seem to encourage more physical activity, for example, a study found that girls living close to parks with good lighting were more physically active and new renovations or new facilities increase children's use of playgrounds and their level of physical activity.

Organised park programmes and supervision through Chorley Council Community Development Team and Get Up And Go (GUAG) is proven to increase children's use of parks and their physical activity, it develops confidence, new skills and forms new friendships amongst the children who participate.

It is noted that opportunities to play including taking risks and testing boundaries build children's confidence, self-esteem and resilience in more than just the short term.

The perception of neighbourhood safety influences whether parents encourage their children to use local playgrounds, making it paramount that Chorley Council continue to work in a joined up approach through neighbourhood priorities and partnerships. Play impacts on the Community Safety and Tolerance Agenda. There are issues of anti-social behaviour in the Borough's play areas which are dealt with quickly and appropriately in a joint approach with Police, Chorley Council Community Safety Team, Parks and Open Space Officers and Neighbourhood Officers.

In conclusion children's expectations and interests have changed over the past 10+ years and play areas need to be refreshed, design is paramount. They need to be environments from which children can learn, explore, have fun and socialise with some element of risk and challenge and ultimately benefit health.

### 2.2 Strategic Context

The following key strategic documents are relevant to the Chorley context:

## National Context

- National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)
- National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)
- NI 199 - national indicator for play
- Conformity to Disability Discrimination Act
- Health and Physical Activity Agenda, 2005
- The National Community Safety Plan
- Play Strategy for England, 2008


## Summary about the Play Strategy

In 2008, the first national Play Strategy set out the last governments 10 year vision and commitments for better play opportunities in England. As well as focusing on the specific places where children play, like parks and green spaces, schools and children's centres, the Play Strategy also considers how communities and neighbourhoods can become more child-friendly overall.

This Play Strategy has not yet been updated from when the new UK government took over office in May 2010. A ministerial task force on childhood and family policy, chaired by the Prime Minister, is currently considering new solutions to providing 'spaces where children can play, where they can feel completely free, where they can safely push at the boundaries, learning and experimenting. Places where different generations can meet, binding the community together.'

## Disability Discrimination Act 1995

In 1995 the Disability Discrimination Act was introduced and gave disabled people equal rights. It came into full force in 2004 and requires reasonable provision to be made for equal opportunities for disabled people except where it affects the safety of others. The Act applies to places where children play (play areas, games areas etc.). It is illegal to treat disabled persons any less favourably than non-disabled persons.

Part III of the Act requires that organisations may have to make reasonable adjustments to the physical environment to overcome barriers to access. The key word is "reasonable". The Act does not compel Chorley Council to make all play areas suitable for disabled children, indeed it is impossible to make a play area totally suitable for all forms of impairment. Chorley Council will aim to take all reasonable measures, bearing in mind safety of other users, to make play areas as suitable for disabled children as possible.

For example this could be to provide accessible paths and gates, dropped kerbs and some equipment that is suitable for a range of abilities e.g. basket swings. Chorley Council has installed equipment suitable for "play for all" on numerous sites across the Borough over the last 10 years and want to make sure that play is accessible for all. All sites when developed going forward will promote inclusive play and offer the opportunities for all children to play alongside their peers.

## Regional Context

- Strategic Framework for play in Lancashire - LCC. 2010
- Central Lancashire PPG17 Open Space Study, May 2012


## Summary about the Central Lancashire PPG17 Open Space Study

In May and June 2012 a Central Lancashire Open Space Study and Playing Pitch Strategy were published respectively. They were prepared by consultants Knight, Kavanagh and Page. This strategy forms part of the evidence base for the Local Plan.
The Open Space Study is an assessment of the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision and was carried out in accordance with the companion guide to Planning Policy Guidance Note 17 (PPG17) 'Assessing Needs and Opportunities.' The study covers the following open space typologies; amenity greenspace, parks and gardens, natural and semi-natural greenspaces, allotments, green corridors, cemeteries/churchyards and civic spaces and provision for children and young people.

| Provision for children and young |
| :--- | :--- |
| people |$\quad$| Areas designed primarily for play and social interaction |
| :--- |
| involving children and young people such as equipped |
| play areas, ball courts, skateboard areas and teenage |
| shelters. |

## Local Context

- Chorley Council Corporate and Strategic Priorities
- A Sustainable Community Strategy for Chorley (2007-2025)
- Chorley Play Partnership
- Chorley Community Safety Partnership
- Neighbourhood Plans


## Chorley Council's Vision

The Corporate Strategy vision is "An ambitions Council that achieves more by listening to the whole community and exceeding their needs.

## Key Themes

- To have clean, safe and healthy communities.
- High quality play areas, parks and open spaces.
- A wide range of quality recreational activities.
- To involve residents in improving their local area and equality of access for all.
- Easy access to high quality public services.
- All residents are able to take an active part in their community.
- Residents who take pride in where they live and their achievements.


## Measures and targets

\% of people satisfied with parks and open spaces. Target 75\%.
\% of people satisfied with their neighbourhood as a place to live. Target $85 \%$.

### 2.3 Map Showing the Distribution of Play Areas across the Borough



### 3.0 METHODOLOGY OF PLAY AREA ASSESSMENT

## Neighbourhood Working

Chorley is split into 8 neighbourhood areas and the Council is working in a partnership approach to improve the Borough through Neighbourhood working. All play areas in the Borough have been analysed neighbourhood by neighbourhood, this includes those owned and managed by Chorley Council, Parish Councils, and private management companies which are subject to agreements around maintenance and management.

Site assessments of each play area have enabled a play area action plan to be produced which links into the current neighbourhood working plans, the Local Plan and corporate priorities. The action plan delivery will be subject to consultation with Council departments, parishes, Councillors and residents to ensure that the requirements of both users and local people are considered. See appendix B for the assessment form and weighting and scoring system.

This document will take into consideration the recommendations highlighted for each area in the Central Lancashire Open Spaces Study but will purely focus on the PPG17 typology "Provision for Children and young People" which is defined as "Areas designed primarily for play and social interaction involving children and young people such as equipped play areas, ball courts, skateboard areas and teenage shelters." Initial site analysis of play areas was carried out in 2011 for the Open Space Study. To ensure accuracy of this data, a revisit was undertaken in June 2013 and Nov 2014. This not only focused on quantity, quality, accessibility and value but also on safety of equipment, life expectancy of equipment and financial liability of upkeep and repairs. This resulted in some updates and changes, these results are reflected in the scoring within this document. The same scoring system was used to have a consistent approach.

Although this strategy adheres to the PPG17 typologies for play areas it is recognised that open space is multi-functional. Play areas are found within amenity greenspace, parks and gardens, green corridors and natural and semi-natural greenspace.

In order to analyse our play areas they were categorised as follows:
Destination Park - place that people will travel to from outside the Borough to visit.
Neighbourhood Parks - areas of fixed play equipment catering for a wide range of ages and abilities within the Chorley Community.

Formal Play Space/Pocket Park - areas of fixed play equipment usually for younger children in a neighbourhood setting.

## Site Analysis Measures

To rate each site it was necessary to measure it against key factors linked to the attributes of each location, design of the site and the play equipment installed. This formed the action plan within this document and allowed judgements to be made for the future.

1. Quality Assessment

How good is the play area? This is a subjective assessment of whether a play area is fit for purpose or requires improvement. The quality assessment includes criteria such as access, equipment and facilities, parking, site problems and maintenance. The criteria are also built around Green Flag and are a non-technical visual assessment of the whole site, but also include a technical assessment using RosPA reviews.

The quality of the site will be rated:
a) High Quality
b) Low Quality
2. Value Assessment

How is the play area valued within the community? The assessment of value includes criteria such as the level of use, ecological, educational, economic, health, anti-social behaviour issues and amenity benefits.

The value of sites will be rated:
a) High Quality
b) Low Quality
3. Accessibility Assessment

How easy is the site to get to versus the size of the play area on offer and the population near the site? How far do people have to travel, is it a good location? Is it easily accessible for all?

The accessibility standard for "provision for children/young people" is a 10 minute walk, 0.5 miles or 800 m . This enables us to identify areas that are not currently served by existing facilities.

A radius of 800 m has been drawn from each site on the neighbourhood maps, this visually highlights areas of surplus or deficit.

4. Quantity Assessment

This is a measure of the other play areas on offer in the vicinity. Does a similar park exist within a close radius and does it duplicate the play value on offer?

The Open Space Study recommends quantity standards for the provision for children and young people to be 0.08 ha per 1,000 population. These standards have been calculated taking into account the current provision, current population and any identified deficiencies.

The quantity of the sites will be rated:-
a) Surplus
b) Deficit
5. Condition/Life Expectancy

How old is the equipment, does it meet current safety standards? Is the life expectancy short i.e. 3 years (low life) or long i.e. 14years (high life)?
a) High life
b) Medium life
c) Low life
6. Financial outlay, liability / time taken to maintain

Does the site get repeatedly vandalised? Does equipment regularly need adjusting or replacing? (high value) is the site robust and require little investment? (low value)
a) High outlay
b) Low outlay

Sites (are in the process of being) have been assessed whether they have suitable equipment for every child regardless of their physical and learning abilities. Sites which have an element of inclusive play are marked with the following symbol.

The Play Strategy recommends what action is to be taken based on the quality and value ratings of a site as follows:

High quality/High value Site should be protected.
High quality/Low value Preferred policy approach should be to enhance its value.
If this is not possible the next best policy approach is to consider whether it might be of high value if converted to a different type of open space.
If this is also impossible, only then is it acceptable to consider a change of use.

Low quality/High value Preferred policy approach should be to protect site and enhance its quality.
If there is a surplus of sites within that typology, and the site is not needed to remedy a deficiency in another typology, disposal of the site with the lowest value should be considered.

Low quality/Low value If there is an identified shortfall, the policy approach should be to enhance its quality, provided it is also possible to enhance its value. If there is a surplus of sites within that typology, changing the site to another typology should be considered.
If there is no shortfall in other typologies, the open space may be surplus to requirements and a change of use should be considered.

The recommendations for each site can be found in section 1.6 with a summary on page 43.

Note: The scores applied to each play area are very subjective to individual opinion.

### 4.0 ASSESSEMENT FINDINGS BY NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA

### 4.1 Play Area Analysis

## Chorley Town East

## Location of Play Areas and Accessibility



Play Areas in Chorley Town East

| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | KKP ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year <br> Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality <br> Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life <br> Expectancy | Financial <br> liability | Recommendation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Rangletts Recreation Ground


Fellview Play Area


Knowley Brow, Heapey


Grey Height's View


Harpers Recreation Ground


Amber Drive

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Chorley Town East and hectares that will be needed to meet the recommended quantity standard of 0.08 ha per 1000 population. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population <br> 2011 census | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current provision <br> (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current <br> Surplus/Deficit (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chorley Town East | 20,109 | 0.08 | 1.895 | 1.609 | 0.286 surplus |

Within Chorley Town East there is currently a small surplus of provision for children and young people.
Chorley Town East is made up of the wards of Chorley North East, Chorley East and Chorley South East. There is a surplus of provision in Chorley East and Chorley South East and a deficit of provision in Chorley North East. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of on-site provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

All sites score high for value but four score low for quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality of these sites.

## Accessibility

Most residents within Chorley Town East are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. Small areas to the north, east and south of Chorley Town East are not within 10 minutes' walk.

## Chorley Town West

## Location of Play Areas and Accessibility

## Chorley <br> council

Chorley Town West
Ördnance


Play Areas in Chorley Town West

| Site Name | Classification | KKP ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year <br> Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life Expectancy | Financial liability | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Astley Park Play Area. Chorley Council | Destination Park | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1435.1 \\ & 1435.2 \\ & 1435.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.107 \\ & 0.102 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & 2011 \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2-8 \\ & 8-16 \\ & 6+ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. Funding sought to improve quality 2014/15. |
| 2. Buttermere Avenue Play Area. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1957.1 | 0.091 | $\begin{aligned} & 2002,2007 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 6-16 | Low | High | High | High | Protect site. Developer contributions sought to improve quality. 2016 |
| 3. Coronation Recreation Ground. Chorley Council | Neighbourhoo d Park | 1314.1 | 0.182 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1993, \\ & 2000,2009 \end{aligned}$ | 2-16 | High | High | Low | Low | Protect site. Replace the oldest equipment when financial contributions allow. Inclusive site |
| 4. Redwing Drive Play Area. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1770.1 | 0.087 | 2009 | 2-16 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 5. Stansted Road Play Area. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1807.1 | 0.099 | 2015 | 2-12 | High | High | Low | Low | Protect site. |
| 6. The Willows Play Area. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1526 | 0.141 | $\begin{aligned} & 2002 \text { and } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 7. Eaves Green Community Centre. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | NEW | 0.025 | 2015 | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect Site |



Redwing Drive Play Area


Stansted Road Play Area


Coronation Recreation Ground

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Chorley Town West and hectares that will be needed to meet the recommended quantity standard. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ census | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current <br> provision (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current <br> Surplus/Deficit (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chorley Town West | 14,558 | 0.08 | 1.154 | 1.165 | 0.011 deficit |

Within Chorley Town West there is a deficit of provision for children and young people.
Chorley Town West is made up of the wards of Chorley North West and Chorley South West. There is a surplus of provision in Chorley South East and a deficit of provision in Chorley North West. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of on-site provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

All sites score high for value but one scores low for quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality of this site. The new destination play area in Astley Park proposed for 2015 will reduce the deficit in the neighbourhood area and enhance the quality of play at this site.

## Accessibility

Most residents within Chorley Town West are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. Small areas to the north, west and south of Chorley Town West are not within 10 minutes' walk.

## Clayton and Whittle

## Location of Play Areas and Accessibility

Chorley
Clayton and Whittle
OS Ordnance


Play Areas in Clayton and Whittle

| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | KKP ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year <br> Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality Rating | Value Rating | Condition/Life Expectancy | Financial liability | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Broom Close Play Area Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1715 | 0.085 | 2002 | 2-8 | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 2.Canal Basin Play Area Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1423.1 | 0.044 | 2004 | 2-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 3.Carr Brook Play Area Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1368.1, \\ & 2,3 \\ & 1368.4 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 1996, 2015 | 4+ | High | High | Low | Low | Protect site. |
| 4.Dahlia Close Play Area Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1462 | 0.123 | 2000 | 6-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 5.Foxglove Drive Private | Pocket Park | 1432.1 | 0.012 | 2007 | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 6.Gough Lane. Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | $\begin{aligned} & 1339.1 \\ & 1339.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.029 \\ & 0.061 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2009 / 10 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | 10-18 | Low | High | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ | High Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 7.Great Greens Lane Play Area. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1339.3 | 0.057 | 2007 | 2-10 | High | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. |
| 8.Guernsey Avenue. B/V Private | Neighbourhood Park | 1963.1 | 0.107 | 2006/7 | 2-10 | High | High | High | N/A | Protect site. |
| 9.Basket Ball Court. Old Worden Ave. Private | Neighbourhood Park | 1962 | 0.061 | 1998 | $8+$ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 10.Harvest Drive Play Area Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1430 | 0.102 | 2001 | 4-8 | High | High | Low | Low | Protect site. |
| 11. Manor Road Play Area. Parish Council | Pocket Park | 1346.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.017 \\ & ? \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1996 / \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | 4-10 | High | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. |
| 12.The Ridings Play Area Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1431 | 0.010 | 1998 | 2-4 | Low | Low | High | Low | Protect site. Lack of equipment. Seek developer contributions to improve quality and value. |
| 13.Osborne Drive Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1952.1 | 0.050 | 1999 | 2-10 | Low | High | Low | Low | Protect site. Equipment needs attention. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 14. Higher Meadow. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1350.1 | 0.047 | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & 2012 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6-16 \\ & 2-8 \end{aligned}$ | High | High | High | N/A | Protect site. |


| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | KKP ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality Rating | Value Rating | Condition/Life Expectancy | Financial liability | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.Library Road. Clayton. Skate Ramps. <br> Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1999 | 0.025 | 2005 | 8-16 | Low | High | High | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 16.Meadow Bank Parish Council | Pocket Park | 1513 | 0.005 | 2002 | 2-6 | High | High | Medium | N/A | Protect site. |
| 17.Delph Way Private | Pocket Park | 1535.1 | 0.043 | 1999 | 2-6 | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 18.Union Street Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1424 | 0.182 | $\begin{aligned} & 1999 \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 2-10 | High | High | Low | Low | Protect site. Equipment needs attention, poor DDA access. $2016$ |
| 19. Hazel Close. Lord Nelson Private | Pocket Park | 2003 | 0.010 | 2014 | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 20. Mendip Road, Clayton Housing Association | Pocket Park | 1354.1 | 0.010 | 2010 | 4-10 | Low | High | High | Low | Protect Site. Install 2nr gates, paths and 2 nr pieces of equipment |
| 21. Cuerden Valley Play Area adj to visitor centre | Neighbourhood Park | NEW | ? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Proposed } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ? | High | High | High | Low | Future ambition. |



Broom Close, Clayton-le-Woods


Osbourne Drive


Canal Basin, Whittle-le-Woods


Harvest Drive


Manor Road, Clayton-le-Woods


Guernsey Avenue

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Clayton and Whittle and hectares that will be needed to meet the recommended quantity standard. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population <br> 2011 census | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current provision <br> (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current <br> Surplus/Deficit (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clayton and Whittle | 18,728 | 0.08 | 1.11 | 1.498 | 0.388 deficit |

Within Clayton and Whittle there is a small deficit of play space per 1,000 population.
Clayton and Whittle is made up of the wards of Clayton-le-Woods and Whittle-le-Woods, Clayton-le-Woods North and Clayton-le-Woods West and Cuerden. There is a surplus of provision in Clayton-le-Woods and Whittle-le-Woods ward and a deficit of provision in the other two wards. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of on-site provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

Most sites score high for value with only 2 scoring low. 7 sites score low for quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality and value of these sites.

## Accessibility

Most residents within Clayton and Whittle are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. Small areas to the north and in the centre of the neighbourhood area are not within 10 minutes' walk, but there are few residential properties in these areas.

## Eastern Parishes

Location of Play Areas and Accessibility


Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright and database rights 2013

Play Areas in Eastern Parishes

| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | KKP ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year <br> Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality <br> Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life <br> expectancy | Financial <br> liability | Recommendation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.Abbey Village Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Neighbourhood <br> Park | 1282 | 0.131 | 2004 <br> 2016 | $5-15$ | High | High | Low | Low <br> equipment when finances allow. <br> Wet pour - replace |  |
| 2.Lodge Bank Play <br> Area <br> Chorley Council | Neighbourhood <br> Park | 1694.1 | 0.120 | 1999,2013 <br> 2011 | $2-8$ <br> $8-12$ | High | High | High/Low | Low | Protect site. |
| 3.Railway Park, Brinscall <br> Ballcourt. Chorley Council | Neighbourhood <br> Park | 1693.1 | 0.038 | 2006 | $8+$ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 4.Meadow Street Play Area <br> Wheelton. Parish Council | Pocket Park | 1419 | 0.042 | 2011 | $2-12$ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 5. Orchard Drive Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1428.1 | 0.048 | 1999 <br> 2004 | $6-12$ | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Upgrade when <br> finances allow. |
| 6. Lucas Green. Redrow <br> Estate. Private | Pocket Park | NEW | 0.080 | 2017 | $2-6$ | - | - | - | - |  |



Orchard Drive


Abbey Village


Brinscall

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Eastern Parishes and hectares that will be needed to meet the recommended quantity standard. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current provision <br> (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current <br> Surplus/Deficit (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eastern Parishes | 8,473 | 0.08 | 0.379 | 0.678 | 0.299 deficit |

Within the Eastern Parishes there is a deficit of play space per 1,000 population.
Eastern Parishes is made up of the wards of Wheelton and Withnell, Brindle and Hoghton and Pennine. There is a very small surplus of provision in Wheelton and Withnell ward and a deficit of provision in the other two wards. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of on-site provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

All sites, apart from one, score high for quality and value. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality and value of this site.

## Accessibility

Most of the settlements within the neighbourhood area are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. Very small areas to the north of Withnell and west of Brinscall are not within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area and Higher Wheelton is also deficient in accessibility.

## Euxton, Astley and Buckshaw

Location of Play Areas and Accessibility
Chorley
Euxton, Astley \& Buckshaw
Ordnance


Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright and database rights 2013

Play Areas in Euxton, Astley and Buckshaw

| Site Name | Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KКР } \\ & \text { Ref } \end{aligned}$ | Size <br> (ha) | Year Installed | Age Range | Quality <br> Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life expectancy | Financial liability | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Balshaw Lane Play Area Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1390 | 0.231 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1998,2007 \\ & 2011,2015 \end{aligned}$ | 4-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 2.Clematis Close Play Area Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1556.1 | 0.014 | 2004 | 2-6 | High | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. |
| 3.Foxcote Play Area Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1559 | 0.046 | 2005 | 2-10 | High | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. |
| 4.Greenside Play Area. Parish Council | Pocket Park | 1392 | 0.121 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1998, 2009, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 2 - adult | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 5.Hawkshead Avenue Play Area. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1394.1 | 0.017 | 1998 | 2-12 | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 6. The Cherries Play Area. Private. To be adopted. | Pocket Park | 1496 | 0.008 | 2001 | 2-6 | High | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. |
| 7.Adj 92 Milestone Meadow. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1815.1 | 0.039 | 2006 | 4-12 | Low | High | High | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 8.Laurel Ave/Primrose Hill Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1591.1 | 0.084 | 1998, 2009 | 2-10 | High | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. |
| 9.Balshaw Lane Skate Park Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1390.1 | 0.067 | 2013 | 8+ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 10.Buckshaw Village Maltby Square Play Area. Private | Pocket Park | 1997 | 0.070 | 2013 | 2-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 11. Buckshaw Village. Unity Place. Private | Neighbourhood Park | 2004 | 0.190 | 2012 | 2-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 12. Buckshaw Village. Shannon Close. Private | Pocket Park | 2006 | 0.060 | 2014 | 6-14 | High | High | High | High | Protect site. |
| 13. Buckshaw Village. Group 1. Private | Pocket Park | NEW | TBC | 2016 | - | - | - | - | - |  |



Balshaw Lane


Milestone Meadow


Clematis Close


Balshaw Lane Skate Park


Foxcote


Greenside

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Astley, Euxton and Buckshaw and the hectares that will be needed to meet the recommended quantity standard. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current <br> provision (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current <br> Surplus/Deficit (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Astley, Euxton and Buckshaw | 12,998 | 0.08 | 0.947 | 1.040 | 0.093 deficit |

Within Astley, Euxton and Buckshaw there is a small deficit of play space per 1,000 population.
Astley, Euxton and Buckshaw is made up of the wards of Euxton North, Euxton South and Astley Village and Buckshaw. There is a small surplus of provision in Astley Village and Buckshaw and a deficit of provision in the other two wards. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of on-site provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

All sites score high for value with only two classed as low for quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality of these sites.

## Accessibility

The western part of the neighbourhood area is not within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area but this area is predominantly rural. All residents of the settlements of Euxton, Buckshaw Village and Astley Village are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area.

## Southeast Parishes

## Location of Play Areas and Accessibility



## Play Areas in Southeast Parishes

| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | KKP <br> Ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year <br> Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality <br> Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life <br> Expectancy | Financial <br> liability | Recommendation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.Grafton Street/Chapel <br> Street Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1968.1 | 0.023 | 2002 <br> 2006 | $2-6$ | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer <br> contributions to improve quality. <br> 2016 |
| 2.Fairview Youth and <br> Community Centre Play <br> Area. Chorley Council | Neighbourhood <br> Park | 1996 | 0.047 | 2011 | $2-12$ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 3.Jubilee Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Neighbourhood <br> Park | 1289.1 | 0.25 | $2014 /$ <br> 2015 | $8-16$ | High | High | Low | High | Protect site. |
| 4.King George's Field Play <br> Area. Chorley Council | Neighbourhood <br> Park | 1291.1 | 0.065 | 2007 | $2-12$ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. Suffers from <br> flooding, replace equipment <br> when finance allows. 2016/17 |
| 5.Waterford Close Play <br> Area. Private | Pocket Park | 1510.1 | 0.011 | unknown | $4-10$ | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer <br> contributions to improve quality. |



Fairview Play Area


Chapel/Graton Street


Jubilee Recreation Ground

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Southeast parishes. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current <br> provision (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current Surplus/Deficit <br> (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Southeast Parishes | 9,461 | 0.08 | 0.396 | 0.757 | 0.361 deficit |

Within Southeast Parishes there is a deficit of play space per 1,000 population.
Southeast Parishes is made up of the wards of Heath Charnock and Rivington and Adlington and Anderton. There is a deficit of provision in both of these wards. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of onsite provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

All sites score high for value with two classed as low for quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality of these sites.

## Accessibility

Most of the neighbourhood area is not within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. All of Adlington Settlement is within 10 minutes' walk, with the remainder of the neighbourhood area not being, but the remainder is predominantly rural.

## Southern Parishes

## Location of Play Areas and Accessibility



Play Areas in Southern Parishes

| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | KKP <br> Ref | Size <br> (ha) | Year <br> Installed | Age <br> Range | Quality <br> Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life <br> Expectancy | Financial <br> liability | Recommendation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Charnock Richard


Longfield Avenue


Tansley Avenue


Byron Crescent


Manor Way


Springfield Leisure Park

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Southern parishes. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current <br> provision (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Current Surplus/Deficit <br> (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Southern Parishes | 10,605 | 0.08 | 3.653 | 0.848 | 2.805 surplus |

Within the Southern Parishes there is a significant surplus of play space per 1,000 population.
Southern Parishes is made up of the wards of Chisnall and Coppull. There is a surplus of provision in both of these wards. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites.

## Quality and Value

All sites are of high value with only two sites being low quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality of these sites.

## Accessibility

All residents of the settlements of Coppull, Charnock Richard and Heskin are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. The remainder of the neighbourhood area is not but it is predominantly rural.

## Western Parishes

## Location of Play Areas and Accessibility



Play Areas in Western Parishes

| Site Name and Ownership | Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KКР } \\ & \text { Ref } \end{aligned}$ | Size <br> (ha) | Year Installed | Age Range | Quality Rating | Value <br> Rating | Condition/Life Expectancy | Financial liability | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Drapers Avenue, Eccleston. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1803.1 | 0.604 | 2002, 2013 | 2-16 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site and improve as finances allow. |
| 2.The Hawthorns, Eccleston Parish Council | Pocket Park | 1388.1 | 0.015 | 2002 | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site and improve as finances allow. |
| 3.Jubilee Way Play Area, Croston. Chorley Council | Pocket Park | 1483.1 | 0.011 | 2004 | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 4.Middlewood Close Play Area. Eccleston. Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1533.1 | 0.011 | 1995 | 2-6 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 5.Millennium Green Play Area, Mawdesley. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1610.2 | 0.010 | 1999 | 2-6 | High | High | Low | Low | Protect site. |
| 6.Millenium Green Skate Park. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1610.1 | 0.027 | 2011 | 8+ | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 7.The Willows/Hurst Green Play Area, Mawdesley Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1407.1 | 0.143 | 2012 | 2-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 8.Station Road Play Area Croston. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1380.1 | 0.060 | 2002 | 2-10 | Low | High | Low | High | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 9. Tarnbeck Drive Play Area Mawdesley. <br> Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1412.1 | 0.010 | 1999 | 2-6 | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. |
| 10.Wymott Park Play Area. Chorley Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1416.1 | 0.036 | 2007 | 2-12 | Low | High | Medium | Low | Protect site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality. Phase 1. 2016 |
| 11. Bretherton Play Area. Parish Council | Neighbourhood Park | 1300 | 0.125 | 2011 | 2-12 | High | High | High | Low | Protect site. |
| 12. Langton Close, Eccleston Private | Pocket Park | 2022 | 0.001 | 2002 | 4-8 | Low | High | Medium | High | Protect Site. Seek developer contributions to improve quality |



Bretherton


Station Road, Croston


Jubilee Way, Croston


Hurst Brook, Mawdesley


Drapers Avenue


Wymott Park

## Quantity Assessment

The table below shows the recommended provision in Southern parishes. This is then compared to the current provision to identify whether there is a surplus or deficit in provision.

| Neighbourhood Area | Population | Recommended <br> Standard (ha/1000 <br> population) | Current <br> provision (ha) | Recommended provision for <br> Neighbourhood Population | Projected <br> Surplus/Deficit by <br> 2026 (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Western Parishes | 12,223 | 0.08 | 1.053 | 0.978 | 0.075 surplus |

Within the Western Parishes there is a small surplus of play space per 1,000 population.
Western Parishes is made up of the wards of Eccleston and Mawdesley and Lostock. There is a surplus of provision in Eccleston and Mawdesley and a deficit of provision in Lostock. Where there is a surplus of provision the planning policy approach is to seek financial contributions from new housing developments to improve the quality/value of existing sites. Where there is a deficit of provision the planning policy approach is to seek new provision from housing developments, by way of on-site provision or a financial contribution towards off-site provision.

## Quality and Value

All sites are of high value but four are of low quality. Financial contributions can be used to improve the quality of these sites.

## Accessibility

All residents of the settlements of Eccleston, Mawdesley and Croston are within 10 minutes' walk of a children's play area. There is a deficit in accessibility in Bretherton. The remainder of the neighbourhood area is not but it is predominantly rural.

### 4.2 Summary of Key Findings

| Neighbourhood Area | Accessibility | Quantity | Quality | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chorley Town East | Deficit in small <br> areas to the north, <br> east and south | Surplus | $66 \%$ of sites high <br> quality | All sites high <br> value |
| Chorley Town West | Deficit in small <br> areas to the north, <br> west and south | Deficit | $83 \%$ of sites high <br> quality | All sites high <br> value |
| Clayton and Whittle | Deficit in small <br> areas to the north <br> and centre | Deficit | $66 \%$ of sites high <br> quality | $90 \%$ of sites <br> high value |
| Eastern Parishes | Most of the <br> settlements in the <br> neighbourhood <br> area are in the <br> accessibility <br> catchment. Deficit <br> in Higher Wheelton <br> and small areas of <br> Withnell and <br> Brinscall. | Deficit | $83 \%$ are high <br> quality | $83 \%$ are high <br> value |
| Euxton, Astley and <br> Buckshaw | Deficit in western <br> part of <br> neighbourhood <br> area but it is mainly <br> rural | Deficit | $83 \%$ are high <br> quality | All sites high <br> value |
| Southeast Parishes | Deficit in most of <br> neighbourhood <br> area but it is mainly <br> rural. Settlement of <br> Adlington is all <br> within accessibility <br> catchment. | Deficit | $60 \%$ are high <br> quality | All sites high <br> value |
| Southern Parishes | Main settlements of <br> Coppull, Charnock <br> Richard and Heskin <br> are within the <br> accessibility <br> catchment. | Surplus | $80 \%$ are high <br> quality | All sites high <br> value |
| Bretherton. |  |  |  |  |
| is a deficit in |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Main settlements of <br> Eccleston, <br> Mawdesley and <br> Croston are within <br> cachment There | Surplus | $64 \%$ are high <br> quality |
| All sites high |  |  |  |  |
| value |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Quality and Value Summary

The table below shows which sites are scored to be of highest and lowest quality, this indicates which sites require immediate investment and which sites can be programmed to be improved over a number of years.

| Provision for children and young people |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quality |  |
|  |  | High | Low |
| $\frac{0}{\frac{1}{\pi}}$ | High | 1282 - Abbey Village Play Area, Abbey Village <br> 1289.1 - Jubilee Play Area, Adlington <br> 1291.1 - King George's Field Play Area, Adlington <br> 1300 - Bretherton Play Area, Bretherton <br> 1306 - Mossie Close, Charnock Richard <br> 1314.1 - Coronation Recreation Ground, Chorley <br> 1321.1 - Harpers Recreation Ground, Chorley <br> 1326.1 - Rangletts Recreation Ground, Chorley <br> 1330.1 - Tatton Recreation Ground, Chorley <br> 1339.3 - Great Greens Lane Play Area, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 1346.1 - Manor Road Play Area, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 1350.1 - Higher Meadow, Clayton-leWoods <br> 1363.1 - Longfield Avenue, Coppull <br> 1368.1,2.3,4 - Carr Brook Play Area, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 1369.1 - Hurst Brook Play Area, Coppull <br> 1373.1 - Byron Crescent Play Area, Coppull <br> 1388.1 - The Hawthorns, Eccleston <br> 1390 - Balshaw Lane Play Area, Euxton <br> 1390.1 - Balshaw Lane Skate Park, Euxton <br> 1392 - Greenside Play Area, Euxton <br> 1402.1 - The Meadows, Heskin <br> 1407.1 - The Willows Play Area, Mawdesley <br> 1419 - Meadow Street Play Area, Wheelton <br> 1423.1 - Canal Basin Play Area, Whittle-leWoods <br> 1424 - Union Street, Whittle-le-Woods <br> 1430 - Harvest Drive Play Area, Whittle-leWoods <br> 1432.1 - Foxglove Drive, Whittle-le-Woods <br> 1435.1 - Astley Park Play Area, adjacent Pet's Corner, Chorley <br> 1435.2 - Astley Park Play Area, Chorley <br> 1462 - Dahlia Close Play Area, Clayton-leWoods | 1320 - Grey Heights View, Chorley <br> 1323 - Knowley Brow, Heapey <br> 1330.2 - Tatton Recreation Ground, Chorley <br> 1339.1 - Gough Lane, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 1339.2 -Gough Lane, Clayton Brook <br> 1370.1 - Tansley Avenue, Coppull <br> 1380.1 - Station Road Play Area, Croston <br> 1394.1 - Hawkshead Play Area, Euxton <br> 1412.1 - Tarnbeck Drive Play Area, Mawdesley <br> 1416.1 - Wymott Park Area, Ulnes Walton <br> 1428.1 - Orchard Drive Play Area, Whittle-le-Woods <br> 1510.1 - Waterford Close, Adlington <br> 1529 - Amber Drive, Chorley <br> 1535.1 - Delph Way, Whittle-le-Woods <br> 1715 - Broom Close Play Area, Clayton-leWoods <br> 1815.1 - Milestone Meadow, Euxton <br> 1952.1 - Osborne Drive, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 1957.1 - Buttermere Avenue Play Area, Chorley <br> 1968.1 - Grafton Street Play Area, Adlington <br> 1999 - Library Road Skate Park, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 2022 - Langton Close, Eccleston <br> 1354.1 - Mendip Road, Clayton |

Provision for children and young people

|  | Quality |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Low |
|  | 1467 - Mid Lancs BMX Track, Coppull <br> 1472 - Manor Way Play Area, Coppull <br> 1483.1 - Jubilee Way Play Area, Croston <br> 1496 - The Cherries Play Area, Euxton <br> 1513 - Meadow Bank, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 1526 - The Willows Play Area, Chorley <br> 1532.1 - The Bowers, Chorley <br> 1533.1 - Middlewood Close Play Area, Eccleston <br> 1544 - Fell View, Cowling Brow, Chorley <br> 1556.1 - Clematis Close Play Area, Astley Village <br> 1559 - Foxcote Play Area, Astley Village <br> 1591.1 - Laurel Avenue/Primrose Hill, Euxton <br> 1610.2 - Millennium Green Play Area, Mawdesley <br> 1693.1 - Railway Park, Brinscall <br> 1694.1 - Lodge Bank Play Area, Brinscall <br> 1770.1 - Redwing Drive Play Area, Chorley <br> 1803.1 - Drapers Avenue, Eccleston <br> 1807.1 - Stansted Road Play Area, Chorley <br> 1962 - Basketball Court, Old Worden Avenue, Buckshaw Village <br> 1963.1 - Guernsey Avenue, Buckshaw Village <br> 1996 - Fairview Play Area, Adlington <br> 1997 - Maltby Square, Buckshaw Village <br> 1998 - Redwood Drive, Chorley <br> 2000 - Springfield Leisure Park, Coppull <br> 2001 - Yarrow Valley Play Area, Chorley <br> 2002 - Duxbury Manor Way, Chorley <br> 2003 - Hazel Close, Clayton Brook/Green <br> 2004 - Unity Place, Buckshaw Village <br> 2005 - Bracken Close, Chorley <br> 2006 - Shannon Close, Buckshaw Village <br> NEW - Eaves Green Community Centre |  |
| Low |  | 4 1431 - The Ridings, Whittle-le-Woods |

Some play areas are of high quality and high value now but are very popular and suffer excessive usage, these sites will need to be refurbished more frequently due to the extra wear and tear e.g. Yarrow Valley Play Area, Coronation Rec and Astley Park.

### 5.0 KEY ISSUES AND OBJECTIVES FOR ACTION

It has been identified through the Play Area Strategy that existing outdoor play provision in the Borough can be improved. Therefore the Council has outlined targets and achievements for improving the provision in Chorley.

### 5.1 Short Term Aims

- To assess all flagship sites and recreation grounds for types and quantity of inclusive play equipment and evaluate which locations need investment for improvement.
- To provide Borough wide facilities of an equal standard catering for all age groups. (age $2-6$, age $7-12$, age $13-17$ )
- To continue working in partnership to reduce anti-social behaviour in play areas.
- Where there is a shortfall enhance its quality, where there is a surplus of sites in a close radius consider if the space could be reallocated for an additional recreational use.
- To justify which sites need investment in priority order. To allocate S106 and capital funding to make improvements to our play areas across Chorley on a needs basis.
- To provide evidence for the need to invest in our play areas on an annual basis and for the need to inspect and maintain the sites on a fortnightly rota.
- To protect the current play areas and create new play areas where demand is required
- To remove any remaining single-piece sites. These sites provide little if any quality play value, generally attract a disruptive teenage element, have no safety surfacing and provide insurance concerns.
- To carry out an independent safety inspections on a biannual basis to check all our play equipment to determine condition and life expectancy - for insurance purposes and budget planning purposes.
- To consult local residents at all stages of decisions.


### 5.2 Long Term Aims

- By 2019 no play areas will have a low play quality.
- To protect and maintain the current play area stock but adapt its use to changing demands.
- Where there is a play area surplus, consider creating fewer sites but increasing the quality, creating a "flagship" site within that settlement. Remove surplus sites once they are in need of replacing and allocated the space for additional recreational use.
- To have a high satisfaction level of feedback from customers
- Work with parish councils to identify facility improvement opportunities and put in funding bids/allocate S106 for new/improved play areas.


### 6.0 FUTURE PROVISION

## Site Based Action Plans by Neighbourhood Area

## Chorley Town East

| Site Name and <br> Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tatton Recreation <br> Ground. Chorley Council | Protect Site. Seek <br> developer contributions | S106 contribution if <br> available <br> Capital fund. 50K | Dependant on S106 <br> $2015 / 16$ |
| Harpers Recreation <br> Ground. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | S106 Contribution | Dependant on S106 |
| Grey Heights View. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. Seek <br> developer contributions | Seek S106. 50 K | $2016 / 17$ |
| Rangletts Recreation <br> Ground. Chorley Council | Protect Site <br> S106 proposed. | S106 contribution <br> available for site | Dependant on S106 <br> $2017 / 18$ |
| Knowley Brow, Heapey. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site, well used. <br> Seek developer <br> contributions | Seek S106 <br> contribution. | $2015 / 16$ |
| The Bowers. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | CBC. Equipment <br> painted | 2014/15 |
| Fell View / Cowling Brow <br> Places for People | Protect Site. | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | N/A |
| Duxbury Manor Way <br> Private | Protect Site <br> No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term. New site 2014 | N/A |  |
| Haworth Road <br> Private | Developer to put in play <br> equipment is per <br> planning permission. | Equipment to be <br> installed by the <br> developer | TBC |
| Amber Drive, off Topaz <br> Way <br> Private | Protect site. There is a <br> deficit in the settlement. <br> Developer maintains site <br> and should improve <br> quality where necessary. | N/A | Adopted by Chorley <br> Counci 2015. Site to <br> be upgraded by by <br> Council upon adoption |
| Redwood Drive <br> Private | Protect Site | N/A |  |
| Bracken Close <br> Private | Protect Site | N/A |  |
| Spurrier Square <br> Private | Developer to put in play <br> equipment is per <br> planning permission. <br> 2016/16 | TBC |  |

## Chorley Town West

| Site Name and <br> Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Astley Park Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. There is a <br> deficit of provision. <br> Funding sought to <br> improve quality | Capital Funding <br> $£ 275,000$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| Buttermere Avenue Play <br> Area. Chorley Council | Protect Site. There is a <br> deficit of provision. Seek <br> developer contributions <br> to improve quality | S106 funding. <br> $£ 23,500$ + £10,000 <br> capital for Toddler <br> provision | $2015 / 16$ |
| Coronation Recreation <br> Ground. Chorley Council | Protect Site. Replace the <br> oldest equipment when <br> financial contributions <br> allow. | Sv06 and external <br> funding | $2016 / 17$ |
| Redwing Drive Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Proate MUGA and <br> improve tennis courts. | Capital budget. 200K |  |

## Eastern Parishes

| Site Name and <br> Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Abbey Village Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. Replace <br> oldest equipment when <br> finances allow. | Possible S106, <br> Parish Council and <br> Council Budget | $2015 / 16$ |
| Dunham Drive Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Low Quality/ Low Value. | Council Budgets. | Removed equipment <br> 2015. |
| Lodge Bank Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. High Value <br> and Quality. Replace <br> oldest equipment when <br> finances allow | 2 new pieces of <br> equipment 2013 - <br> 4 K. | $2017 / 18$ for toddler <br> multiunit. <br> Review all of site in <br> $2018 / 19$ |
| Railway Park, Brinscall <br> Ballcourt. Chorley <br> Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Meadow Street Play Area <br> Wheelton. Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Orchard Drive Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. Upgrade <br> swings when finances <br> allow. | Council Budgets | $2017 / 18$ |

## Clayton and Whittle

| Site Name and Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be carried out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broom Close Play Area Chorley Council | Protect Site. Financial contributions could be sought to improve quality and value. | S106 to be sougth | 2014/15 paint equipment <br> 2018/19 - upgrade |
| Canal Basin Play Area Chorley Council | Protect Site | No investment needed in the short term |  |
| Carr Brook Play Area Chorley Council | Protect site. Financial contribution has been sought to improve quality. | S106 allocated to site. | 2015/16 |
| Dahlia Close Play Area Chorley Council | Protect site. Deficiency in the settlement. Financial contributions could be sought to improve quality | Council budget | 2014/15 equipment painted. |
| Foxglove Drive Private | Protect Site |  | N/A |
| Gough Lane. Chorley Council | Protect Site. Heavily vandalised. | Possible S106 and CCH funding | Vandalised equipment removed 2013/2015. <br> Ball court lighting to be installed 2015/16 |
| Great Greens Lane Play Area <br> Parish Council | Protect Site. Replace equipment as necessary. | Play Area subject to vandalism. | 2017/18 <br> As necessary. |
| Guernsey Avenue. B/V Private | Protect Site | No investment needed in the short term |  |
| Harvest Drive Play Area Chorley Council | Protect Site. Equipment needs attention | Revenue Budget | 2017/18 |
| Manor Road Play Area. Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment needed in the short term | 2018/19 |
| The Ridings Play Area Chorley Council | Protect Site. Lack of equipment. Improve quality and value. Review site | S106 | 2017/18 |
| Osborne Drive Chorley Council | Protect Site. Equipment needs attention | Possible S106 or Play and Rec Fund | 2016/17 |
| Cunnery Meadow/Mendip Road. Parish Council | Protect Site |  | Review in 2018/19 |
| Library Road. Clayton. Skate Ramps. Parish Council | Protect Site |  | 2018/19 |
| Meadow Bank Parish Council | Protect Site |  | Review in 2018/19 |
| Delph Way Private | Protect Site |  | 2018/19 |
| Union Street Chorley Council | Protect Site. Equipment needs attention | Revenue Budget for short term improvements. S106 for larger quality improvements | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2013 / 14 \\ & 2015 / 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mendip Road, Clayton. Housing Assocoation | Protect Site | S106 money | 2017/18 |

## Astley, Euxton and Buckshaw

| Site Name | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balshaw Lane Play Area <br> Parish Council | Protect Site |  | $2014 / 15$ |
| Clematis Close Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Foxcote Play Area <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | $2018 / 19$ |
| Greenside Play Area. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Hawkshead Avenue Play <br> Area. Chorley Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| The Cherries Play Area. <br> Private | Protect Site | Site be brought up to <br> acceptable standard <br> and adopted from <br> developer. | N/A |
| Adj 92 Milestone <br> Meadow. Chorley Council | Protect Site. Financial <br> contributions could be be <br> sought to improve quality. | Possible S106 | 2017/18 |
| Laurel Ave/Primrose Hill | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Barish Council <br> Parish Council | New Site | N/A |  |
| Buckshaw Village <br> Marttby Square Play Area <br> Private | Protect Site | New Site | N/A |
| Buckshaw Village. |  |  |  |
| Shannon Close. Private |  | New Site |  |

## Southeast Parishes

| Site Name and <br> Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grafton Street/Chapel <br> Street Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. Move to <br> Jubilee Rec subject to <br> consultation. | Possible S106 | $2016 / 17$ |
| Fairview Youth and <br> Community Centre Play <br> Area. Chorley Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | N/A |
| Jubilee Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site- there is a <br> deficit of provision in the <br> settlement. Financial <br> contribution has been <br> sought to improve quality | S106 available from <br> Grove Farm. £103K <br> Other S106 and <br> capital | $2013 / 14$ <br> 2014/15 |
| King George's Field Play <br> Area. Chorley Council | Protect Site. Invest in 8 - <br> 12 provision. | Capital Funding <br> £50K | $2016 / 17$ |
| Waterford Close Play <br> Area. Private | Protect Site - seek <br> financial contributions to <br> improve quality. | Possible S106 | $2018 / 19$ |

## Southern Parishes

| Site Name and <br> Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Byron Crescent Play <br> Area. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site. | Parish Council | 2013/14- garages <br> have been removed as <br> part of a <br> neighbourhood priority. <br> 2015/16 |
| Hurst Brook Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | Possible S106 or <br> Chorley Council | $2017 / 18$ |
| Longfield Avenue. <br> Places for People | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Site refurbished in <br> 2013. 30K + |
| Manor Way Play Area. <br> Private | Protect Site | Site not yet adopted | N/A |
| Tansley Avenue. <br> Parish council | Protect Site | Possible S106 | 2016/17 |
| Springfield Leisure Park. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Yarrow Valley Play Area. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review in 2018/19 |
| The Meadows, Heskin. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in short term | Review in 2018/19 |
| Mid lancs BMX Track, <br> Chisnall. LCC | Protect Site | N/A | N/A |
| Mossie Close, Charnock <br> Richard. Parish Council | Protect Site <br> Well kept | Possible S106 and <br> external funding to <br> replace oldest <br> equipment and <br> provide youth <br> equipment | 2016/17 |

## Western Parishes

| Site Name and <br> Ownership | Recommendation | Financial Source | Year work to be <br> carried out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drapers Avenue, <br> Eccleston. Parish Council | Protect Site | S106 from Sagar <br> House 77K towards <br> ball court | $2014 / 15$ |
| The Hawthorns, <br> Eccleston <br> Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review site in 2018/19 |
| Jubilee Way Play Area, <br> Croston. Chorley Council | Protect Site. Seek <br> financial contribution to <br> improve quality |  | Review site in 2018/19 |
| Middlewood Close Play <br> Area. Eccleston. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site | Review site in 2018/19 |  |
| Millennium Green Play <br> Area, Mawdesley. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site. Seek <br> financial contribution to <br> improve quality | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review site in 2018/19 |
| Millennium Green Skate <br> Park. Parish Council | Protect Site | No investment <br> needed in the short <br> term | Review site in 2018/19 |
| The Willows /Hurst Brook <br> Play Area, Mawdesley. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site | Possible S106, Play <br> and Rec Fund and <br> external funding bid | 2015/16 |
| Station Road Play Area <br> Croston. Parish Council | Protect Site. Seek <br> financial contribution to <br> improve quality | Possible S106 or <br> Play and Rec Fund | 2016/17 |
| Tarnbeck Drive Play Area <br> Mawdesley. <br> Chorley Council | Protect Site. Seek <br> financial contribution to <br> improve quality | No investment <br> neotect Site. s the only <br> area of open space and <br> is well used. Financial <br> contributions to be <br> sought | Possible S106, Play <br> and Rec Fund and <br> external funding bid |
| Wymott Park Play Area. <br> Parish Council | Review site 2018/17 |  |  |
| Bretherton Play Area. <br> Parish Council | Protect Site | S106 |  |
| Langton Close, Eccleston | Protect Site | 2016/17 |  |

### 7.0 STRATEGY REVIEW

The objectives identified in the short term and longer term actions will be implemented.
Progress will be monitored and logged and feedback sought from site users. The sites of low quality and areas showing a deficit in play quantity will be focused on first and issues addressed where resources allow.

Where new play provision is provided, expanded or removed, a tally of surpluses and deficiencies will be kept. This will ensure that the initial audit and assessment data is continuously kept up to date and will avoid the need for a complete review in the short term.

An annual update report will be produced to highlight the achievements and setbacks encountered. New action points will be set and targets adapted as necessary dependant on what has been achieved.

## Health and Safety Standards

Over recent years the pressures for increased safety standards has created a 'clinical environment' in which toddler equipment and junior equipment is now required to be separated from each other. Due to these standards, in some cases space confinements and budget allowances has meant that equipment has not been replaced, leaving gaps in current play provision.

In January 1999 the introduction of European Safety Standards for Outdoor Playground Equipment (EN1176 and EN1177) also introduced more demanding safety standards. These laws focused heavily on absorbent surfacing, the spacing between play structures and the height at which there is a need to provide barriers with guard rails to prevent falls. In light of these regulations the Royal Society of the Prevention of Accidents (RoSPA) stated that:
"The new European standard was published on 1st January 1999 when existing standards were withdrawn. There are a number of areas where existing equipment may fail the new standard. This does not mean that equipment has suddenly become dangerous or that remedial action is required. The new Standard is not mandatory or retrospective"

Therefore not all of the current play equipment conforms to the EN1176 and EN1177; however it does conform to the previous standard: BS5696. As this equipment deteriorates and becomes costly to replace, and parts may no longer be available, the equipment will be removed and eventually be replaced by equipment that does conform to the new standard.

The new standard also relaxed some regulations. Previous to the EN1176 law, there was the British Standard: BS5696. This stated that roundabouts should have a restrictor on them which prevented them spinning over a certain speed in an effort to reduce the number of accidents that occurred. However the introduction of the European Standard meant that the restrictors were no longer required. The introduction of the new Standards also means that those Councils who install play equipment that does not conform to EN1176 and EN1177 must carry out risk assessments on the equipment and have a justified reason for installing it. Chorley Council will not install play equipment that does not meet the requirements of the European Standard. On sites with natural play such as Yarrow Valley, an independent safety inspection was carried out and certificates produced before allowing use of the site.

In 2011 an independent play inspection was carried out of most of the Council maintained play area, the assessment report looked at each piece of equipment and gave a predicted life span. The equipment identified as having 1 year remaining was removed in 2012. The equipment with a 1-3 year life remaining is programmed into being removed and replaced within the action plans in the Strategy and these sites are scored in the assessment as having a low or medium condition/life expectancy.

The Council employ members of staff who carry out fortnightly inspections of the play equipment on the 51 sites that we maintain, they record any problems and issues which are then rectified.

Any equipment that is awaiting new parts will be recorded each week until the new equipment arrives, who repaired the equipment and when will also be recorded. This also monitors repair and maintenance costs and can also be used when disputing claims made against the Council.

Appendix B
Play Area Assessment Form




| Personal security |  | Overlooked by other land <br> use | Overlooked by housing on one <br> side | Overlooked by housing on <br> most sides | Overlooked by <br> housing on <br> every side |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| Gradient and value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steep slope (whole site) | Steep sloped area/s | Some irregular land | Gentle slopes | Flat (whole site) |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |


| Parking |  |  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is specific car parking available for the site? |  |  |  |  |
| Is there parking for disabled users? |  |  |  |  |
| If No, would it be of benefit/appropriate to the site? |  |  |  |  |
| Number and location |  |  |  |  |
| Inadequately meets the needs of the site in terms of size and type. |  | Reasonable number of spaces but may be at capacity during peak times. |  | Adequately meets the needs of the site in terms of size and type. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Appropriateness of provision |  |  |  |  |
| Car park is poorly located (e.g., for disabled/elderly users) and is inappropriate i.e. is too big or unnecessary. |  | Reasonably appropriate for the size, type and usage of the site. |  | Car park is well located and appropriate for the size, type and usage of the site. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Quality |  |  |  |  |
| Poor quality i.e. pot holes, poorly marked, uneven, weeds present, secluded, poor lighting. |  | Reasonable quality |  | Good quality i.e. level surface, well marked, free from pot holes, feels safe. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| Seats/benches |  |  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Are seats/benches provided at the site |  |  |  |  |
| How many seats/benches are provided |  |  |  |  |
| If No, would it be of benefit/appropriate to the site? |  |  |  |  |
| Number and location |  |  |  |  |
| Small number of seats/benches, none of which are located at strategic places |  | Reasonable number of seats/benches, some of which are placed at strategic places |  | Adequate number of benches, all located at appropriate strategic places |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Appropriateness of provision |  |  |  |  |
| Seats/benches poorly designed (e.g., for disabled/elderly users) and is inappropriate for the size, type and character of the site |  | Approximately half of seats/benches appropriately designed and appropriate for the size, type and character of the site. |  | All of seats/benches appropriately designed and appropriate for the size, type and character of the site. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |
| Seats/benches poorly maintained with graffiti/vandalism |  | Some seats/benches poorly maintained with graffiti/vandalism |  | All <br> seats/benches well maintained with no graffiti/vandalis m |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |



| Site problems | Tick if evident on site |
| :--- | :--- |
| Needles |  |
| Motorbike/quads |  |
| Glass |  |
| Abandoned cars |  |
| Fire damage |  |
| Horse tracks |  |


| SITE QUALITY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General site appearance |  |  |  |  |
| General appearance is very poor. Litter, graffiti is considered a large problem | Poor appearance with evidence of dog foul, graffiti and/or litter | Adequate | Good appearance with little evidence of litter, graffiti and/or dog fouling | Excellent appearance with no litter/dog foul |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Surface quality |  |  |  |  |
| Poor quality. Inappropriate for type of play area. |  | Adequate but some improvements required. |  | Good quality, meeting the needs of users. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| Equipment quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Poor quality with damaged <br> and/or vandalised <br> equipment. |  | Adequate quality with some <br> equipment requiring <br> replacement. | Good quality. |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |


| Drainage |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The site is poorly drained <br> (evidence of significant water <br> pools) |  | Some evidence of water pools |  | The site is well <br> drained (no <br> evidence of <br> water pools) |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| Information/signage | (Tick if appropriate) | Information/signage | (Tick if <br> appropriate) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Contains ownership information on display <br> with relevant contacts provided |  | Signs situated at entrance and strategic points |  |
| Contains pictogram of "No dogs" signs. |  | Easy to read/clear messages |  |
| Contains location of nearest public telephone <br> for contacting emergency | Well maintained and free from graffiti/vandalism |  |  |
| Contains safety warning regarding the use of <br> drugs and alcohol | Signs at accessible height |  |  |
| Contains safety inspection details. I.e.: how <br> regularly they take place. |  |  |  |


| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (please tick if present) <br> only answer for the PRIMARY typology currently being <br> assessed |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety Barrier at ALL entrance(s) |  |
| Sufficient disabled access |  |


| Level of use (observations only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poorly used | Reasonably used | Well used |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |


|  | High value <br> Structural and landscape benefits <br> If high, please provide example |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecological benefits |  |  |
| If high, please provide example |  |  |
| Educational benefits |  |  |
| If high, please provide example |  |  |
| Social inclusion and health benefits |  |  |
| If high, please provide example |  |  |
| Cultural and heritage benefits |  |  |
| If high, please provide example |  |  |
| Amenity benefits and a sense of place |  |  |
| If high, please provide example |  |  |
| Economic benefits |  |  |
| If high, please provide example |  |  |

## Weighting and scoring system

As can be seen each site has been thoroughly assessed through the KKP assessment process in order a achieve an appropriate weighting score for both quality and value during site visits.

Quality and value are fundamentally different and can be completely unrelated. For example, a high quality space may be located where it is inaccessible and therefore of little value; while if a run down space is the only one in an area it may be immensely valuable. Therefore they are also treated separately in terms of scoring.

A weighting and scoring system has been developed. On the assessment form itself some elements receive a direct score ( $1-5$ scale) and other elements simply have a tick option. Therefore, you have the option to amend either the weighting of the direct score (currently all set at 1 and therefore receives 'face value') or the scoring of the tick options (currently the majority of ticks score 5 , as this is the maximum score for direct scores).

When writing this strategy, some of the KKP scoring for quality has been questioned and as such sites are /have been programmed into to be reassessed. For example, Fell View, The Bowers, Broom Close, Stanstead Road.

Sites with a quality threshold of $40 \%$ or over score high and sites with a value threshold of $20 \%$ or over scored high. Sites scoring below these thresholds are identified for investments and /or improvement.

## Funding

The proposals that have been put forward by the Council in this strategy aim to increase and improve play area facilities for children and young people. Funding options are identified below.

## Capital/Revenue Budgets

Capital Funding provides new and replacement equipment as well as the installation costs of new equipment. Chorley Council revenue budgets fund repair and maintenance costs resulting from general wear and tear, replacement pieces of equipment where life span or condition is poor, vandalism repairs or replacements and inspections of Council play areas for insurance checks and life expectancy.

Within the site assessments by neighbourhood area, a score of either Low or High is given against whether a site costs a lot to maintain or not. On average each play area costs £?? per annum to inspect and maintain, play sites that have a higher rate of usage will require a higher level of revenue funding to maintain. It should be noted that when new play areas are adopted from developers, the maintenance budget should be increased to take extra costs into consideration.

## External Funding Opportunities

There are a range of external funding organisations that Chorley Council, Parish Councils and community groups can apply to for Capital to improve or develop play areas. These include Lancashire Environment Fund and Lottery funding. The Council can also offer advice and guidance to voluntary organisations who wish to improve or develop their local play spaces in line with the strategy and submit funding applications to relevant organisations.

## Land Fill Tax

BIFFA, SITA and VEOLIA provides grants under the terms and conditions of the Landfill Communities Fund, The Council, Parish Councils or organisations can apply for grants for projects that benefit the community. These grants provide match funding to existing secured funds, for example capital or S106 monies to give a higher budget for the overall project.

## Government Funding

The National Play Strategy aims to change the way play areas close to where children live are developed, offering exciting, active and adventurous play. This strategy is currently being updated. There is currently no funding available.

## Section 106 and Developer Contributions

When a new housing estate is being planned, both the building company and the Council sign a Section 106 agreement. This agreement states that the contractors have to provide funding for the installation of play areas in accordance with Planning and Design for Outdoor Space, either on site or off site dependant on what policies recommend. Once this has been done the play areas are usually adopted by the Council. The Council then receives funding from the contractors over a period of 20 years to contribute to the maintenance costs of the sites. In some instances contractors may install the play area sites and maintain them. This again is a 20 year agreement.

## Public Fund Raising

In some cases, communities become involved in sourcing funding for the sites. Voluntary sector opportunities are eligible for funding streams that statuary bodies are not, for example, Awards for All.

## Community Consultation

Chorley Council recognises the need to consult the community on matters that affect their neighbourhood.

It is imperative that the Council involves local residents, children and young people, the police, and key partners as it the local community who will be using the facility and can help the Council in protecting and preserving local play spaces.

Community Consultation is achieved through various ways:

- On site consultation with site users
- Organised consultation events in the locality of the site by invitation
- Tenants and Residents Association Groups
- Open Public Consultations
- Chorley Play Partnership
- Parish Council Meetings
- Children and Young Peoples Groups
- Schools

Generally when a new play area is created the children in the local community are asked to design their ideal playground during the consultation period. It is from these drawings that an initial design brief is pulled together consisting of the key activities that were illustrated in the children's designs. For example swinging, rocking, rotating, climbing, balancing, sliding, role play, strength training, team use.

The brief is then sent to companies who design and install the play areas. They send back their vision/design/illustration for the play park and these are taken back into the community for a decision. The design with the highest number of votes is awarded the contract.

The Council have found that there is division in the support for new play areas. For example: residents with children, those who live a short walk away and those who will benefit from the play park will want to see new play parks installed. However those without children, residents who live next to a play area will be on and those who have no use of a play area will not want to see it installed due to a variety of issues including a fear of disruption, noise and antisocial behaviour. This creates a problem for the Council in the sense that not everyone will be happy to see the play area installed. In these instances a compromise is reached.

## Quantity Assessments by Ward and Settlement

Current Provision by Ward (updated January 2015)

| Ward | Provision for <br> Children/ Young <br> People - current <br> provision | Provision for <br> Children/ Young <br> People - <br> surplus/deficit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adlington and Anderton | 0.291 | -0.269 |
| Astley Village and Buckshaw | 0.388 | +0.095 |
| Brindle and Hoghton | 0 | -0.175 |
| Chisnall | 3.057 | +2.730 |
| Chorley East | 0.677 | +0.153 |
| Chorley North East | 0.228 | -0.360 |
| Chorley North West | 0.490 | +0.022 |
| Chorley South East | 0.710 | +0.211 |
| Chorley South West | 0.319 | -0.322 |
| Clayton-le-Woods and Whittle-le-Woods | 0.641 | +0.072 |
| Clayton-le-Woods North | 0.192 | -0.343 |
| Clayton-le-Woods West and Cuerden | 0.255 | -0.096 |
| Coppull | 0.596 | +0.084 |
| Eccleston and Mawdesley | 0.820 | +0.340 |
| Euxton North | 0.244 | -0.118 |
| Euxton South | 0.315 | -0.007 |
| Heath Charnock and Rivington | 0.011 | -0.164 |
| Lostock | 0.232 | -0.256 |
| Pennine | 0.099 | -0.085 |
| Wheelton and Withnell | 0.331 | +0.002 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Current Provision by Settlement (updated January 2015)

| Settlement | Provision for <br> Children/Young <br> People - current <br> provision | Provision for <br> Children/Young <br> People - <br> surplus/deficit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Abbey Village | 0.131 | +0.112 |
| Adlington | 0.302 | -0.133 |
| Bretherton | 0.125 | +0.071 |
| Brindle | 0 | -0.050 |
| Buckshaw Village | 0.488 | +0.280 |
| Charnock Richard | 0.102 | +0.007 |
| Chorley Town | 2.755 | +0.026 |
| Clayton Brook/Green | 0.272 | -0.558 |
| Clayton-le-Woods | 0.255 | -0.061 |
| Coppull | 3.127 | +2.579 |
| Croston | 0.071 | -0.125 |
| Eccleston | 0.630 | +0.293 |
| Euxton | 0.567 | -0.068 |
| Gib Lane | 0 | -0.024 |
| Gregson Lane | 0 | -0.033 |
| Higher Wheelton | 0 | -0.020 |
| Hoghton | 0 | -0.047 |
| Mawdesley | 0.190 | +0.115 |
| Wheelton | 0.042 | -0.023 |
| Whittle-le-Woods | 0.492 | +0.133 |
| Withnell/Brinscall | 0.158 | -0.033 |

